



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/832,335	02/07/92	ABECASSIS	M A1000-005/P0

MAX ABECASSIS
19020 NE 20 AVENUE
MIAMI, FL 33179

26M2/1006

EXAMINER	
CHARQUEL, L	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2611	13

DATE MAILED:

10/06/93

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

1. ☒ The communication filed 7/26/93 is informal/non-responsive for the reason(s) checked below and should be corrected. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER OR UNTIL THE EXPIRATION OF THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE SET IN THE LAST OFFICE ACTION (WHICHEVER IS LONGER) WITHIN WHICH TO CORRECT THE INFORMALITY.
- a. ☐ The amendment to claim(s) _____, filed _____, fails to comply with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.121 and is accordingly held to be non-responsive. A supplemental paper correcting the informal portions and complying with the rule is required.
- b. ☐ The paper is unsigned. A duplicate paper or ratification, properly signed, is required.
- c. ☐ The paper is signed by _____, who is not of record. A ratification or a new power of attorney with a ratification, or a duplicate paper signed by a person of record, is required.
- d. ☐ The communication is presented on paper which will not provide a permanent copy. A permanent copy, or a request that a permanent copy be made by the Office at applicant's expense, is required, see M.P.E.P. 714.07.
- e. ☒ Other See Attached.
2. ☐ In accordance with applicant's request, THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE FROM THE OFFICE ACTION DATED _____ IS EXTENDED TO RUN _____ MONTH(S).
No further extension will be granted unless approved by the Commissioner, 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (b)
3. ☐ Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119 which papers have been made of record in the file.
4. ☐ Other

Serial Number: 07/832,335


-2-

Art Unit: 2611

1. The amendment filed 7/26/93 is not fully responsive to the Office Action dated 6/15/93 for the reason that the Applicant failed to elect a Grouping of Groups I-IV. When a restriction requirement is set forth, the Applicant must elect a Group of the original Groupings. The amendment filed 7/26/93 by Applicant cancelled all claims and submitted new claims. This is improper. Applicant is required to elect a Group from Groups I-IV of the original claims. Additional, new claims may be presented however they should be within the scope of the elected Group of Groups I-IV. For clarity of the above, Applicant is welcomed to call the Patent Examiner indicated below.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Lisa Charouel whose telephone number is (703) 305-4379.

LISA CHAROUEL
October 3, 1993


Reinhard J. Eisenzopf
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 260
10-4-93